## REQUEST FOR REVIEW AND RECONSIDERATION OF JUNE 29, 2007 OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL MEMORANDUM RE: RFRA

August 20, 2015

The Honorable Barack H. Obama President of the United States The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

The 130 undersigned religious, education, civil rights, labor, LGBT, women's, and health organizations write to request that you direct the Attorney General to instruct the Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) to review and reconsider its flawed June 29, 2007 Memorandum titled, Re: Application of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act to the Award of a Grant Pursuant to the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act (OLC Memo). The OLC Memo reaches the erroneous and dangerous conclusion that the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 (RFRA) provides a blanket override of a statutory non-discrimination provision. If left in place, the OLC Memo will tarnish the legacy of your work to advance fairness and equal treatment under the law for all Americans.

Some of us were members of the Coalition for the Free Exercise of Religion, which led the effort to persuade Congress to enact legislation after the United States Supreme Court sharply curtailed Free Exercise Clause protections in *Employment Division v. Smith*, 494 U.S. 872 (1990). This effort culminated in 1993, when then-President William J. Clinton signed RFRA into law.

RFRA was intended to provide protection for free exercise rights, applying strict scrutiny, on a case-by-case basis, to federal laws that substantially burden religious exercise. RFRA was not intended to create blanket exemptions to laws that protect against discrimination.

Yet, in contrast to this, the OLC Memo relies on flawed legal analysis and wrongly asserts that RFRA is "reasonably construed to require" a federal agency to categorically exempt a religiously affiliated organization from a grant program's explicit statutory non-discrimination provision, thus permitting the grantee to discriminate in hiring with taxpayer funds without regard to the government's compelling interest in prohibiting such discrimination.

The OLC Memo's broad and erroneous interpretation of RFRA has far-reaching consequences. For example, although the OLC Memo's conclusion is focused on one grantee in one Justice Department program, the Department has implemented it as a categorical exemption — that does not even require an individualized inquiry — to all religious hiring discrimination bans, most recently in the reauthorization of the Violence Against Women

Act (VAWA). The Department of Labor has also cited the OLC Memo to adopt a categorical prohibition. Moreover, some have cited the OLC Memo in arguing that RFRA should broadly exempt religiously affiliated contractors from the nondiscrimination requirements in Executive Order 11246, including those you added just last year that bar government contractors from discriminating against LGBT workers. And, some are trying to extend its reach beyond the context of hiring: Several grantees and contractors have cited the OLC Memo to support their arguments that the government should create a blanket exemption that would allow them to refuse to provide services or referrals required under those funding agreements, specifically in the context of medical care for unaccompanied immigrant children who have suffered sexual abuse.

Throughout your presidency, you have committed to uphold this Nation's laws and values. Indeed, in March, when discussing the Indiana RFRA, your Press Secretary said discrimination is "not consistent with our values as a country that we hold dear." Even before you were elected President in 2008, you promised to end federally funded hiring discrimination, because of the government's profound and enduring commitment to upholding the civil rights principle that it must not fund discrimination. The deeply harmful OLC Memo severely undermines this commitment.

Contrary to the conclusion in the OLC Memo, RFRA is not a tool to categorically override statutory protections against religious hiring discrimination. Nor does it create an absolute free exercise right – without regard to countervailing compelling interests, as required by RFRA – to receive government grants without complying with applicable regulations that protect taxpayers and participants in federally funded programs.

We accordingly request that the OLC Memo be reviewed and its erroneous and dangerous interpretation of RFRA be reconsidered as soon as possible.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of our views.

Most respectfully,

9to5, National Association of Working Women Advocates for Youth African American Ministers In Action AFSCME AIDS United AJC (American Jewish Committee) American Association of University Women (AAUW) American Atheists American Baptist Home Mission Societies American Civil Liberties Union American Federation of Teachers American Humanist Association American Sexual Health Association

American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee (ADC) Americans for Religious Liberty Americans United for Separation of Church and State Anti-Defamation League Asian Americans Advancing Justice-AAJC Association of University Centers on Disabilities (AUCD) B'nai B'rith International Baptist Joint Committee for Religious Liberty Bend the Arc Jewish Action California Women's Law Center Catholics for Choice Center for Inquiry Center for Reproductive Rights CenterLink: The Community of LGBT Centers Central Conference of American Rabbis Clearinghouse on Women's Issues Coalition of Labor Union Women Concerned Clergy for Choice The Council for Secular Humanism **DignityUSA** Disciples Justice Action Network Equal Partners in Faith **Equality Federation** Family Equality Council Feminist Majority Foundation FORGE, Inc. Forward Together Freedom to Marry Friends Committee on National Legislation Gay & Lesbian Advocates & Defenders (GLAD) Gay and Lesbian Activists Alliance of Washington, D.C. **GLSEN** Hadassah, The Women's Zionist Organization of America, Inc. Healthy Teen Network Hindu American Foundation Human Rights Campaign Institute for Science and Human Values Interfaith Alliance Japanese American Citizens League Jewish Council for Public Affairs JWI

Keshet Lambda Legal Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights League of United Latin American Citizens Legal Momentum Los Angeles LGBT Center Many Voices: A Black Church Movement for Gay & Transgender (LGBT) Justice Marriage Equality USA MergerWatch Methodist Federation for Social Action Military Association of Atheists & Freethinkers Movement Advancement Project Muslim Advocates Muslims for Progressive Values NA'AMAT USA NAACP NARAL Pro-Choice America National Abortion Federation National Asian Pacific American Women's Forum National Black Justice Coalition National Center for Lesbian Rights National Center for Transgender Equality National Coalition Against Domestic Violence National Coalition of Anti-Violence Programs (NCAVP) National Congress of Black Women National Council of Jewish Women The National Crittenton Foundation National Education Association National Employment Law Project National Employment Lawyers Association National Family Planning & Reproductive Health Association National Latina Institute for Reproductive Health National LGBTQ Task Force National Network to End Domestic Violence National Organization for Women National Partnership for Women & Families National Resource Center on Domestic Violence National Women's Health Network National Women's Law Center Nehirim

People For the American Way

PFLAG National

- Physicians for Reproductive Health
- Planned Parenthood Federation of America
- Political Research Associates
- Population Connection Action Fund

Pride at Work

- Pro-Choice Resources
- The Rabbinical Assembly
- The Religious Coalition for Reproductive Choice
- **Religious Institute**
- Reproductive Health Technologies Project
- Secular Coalition for America
- Secular Policy Institute
- Services and Advocacy for GLBT Elders (SAGE)
- Sexuality Information and Education Council of the U.S. (SIECUS)
- Sikh American Legal Defense and Education Fund (SALDEF)
- The Sikh Coalition
- Sikh Council on Religion and Education (SCORE)
- South Asian Americans Leading Together (SAALT)
- Southern Poverty Law Center
- Texas Faith Network
- Texas Freedom Network
- Transgender Law Center
- UltraViolet
- Union for Reform Judaism
- Unitarian Universalist Association
- United Church of Christ Justice and Witness Ministries
- United Methodist Church, General Board of Church and Society
- UNITED SIKHS
- URGE: Unite for Reproductive & Gender Equity
- Women of Reform Judaism
- Women's Alliance for Theology, Ethics, and Ritual (WATER)
- Women's Law Project
- YWCA USA
- cc: The Honorable Loretta E. Lynch, Attorney General of the United States The Honorable Thomas E. Perez, Secretary of Labor