JAMIE A. GLIKSBERG* CAMILLA B. TAYLOR* LAMBDA LEGAL DEFENSE AND BUCATION FUND, INC. 105 West Adams, 26th Floor Chicago, IL 60603-6208 Tel: (312) 663-4413 Fax: (650) 331-2000 Tel: (312) 663-4413 Fax: (650) 331-2060 Irubin@mayerbrown.com Counsel for Plaintiffs Other Than Santa Clara County Counsel for Plaintiffs * Admitted pro hac vice IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, Plaintiff, vs. ALEX M. AZAR II, et al., Defendants. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA, Plaintiff, vs. Plaintiff, vs. Plaintiff, vs. DECLARATION OF HECTOR VARGAS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF GLMA: HEALTH PROFESSIONALS ADVANCING LGBTQ EQUALITY, IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUPPORT OF THEIR OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS OR, IN THE			
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, Plaintiff, vs. ALEX M. AZAR II, et al., Defendants. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA, Plaintiff, vs. Plaintiff, Vs. No. C 19-02405 WHA Related to No. C 19-02769 WHA No. C 19-02916 WHA DECLARATION OF HECTOR VARGAS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF GLMA: HEALTH PROFESSIONALS ADVANCING LGBTQ EQUALITY, IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND IN SUPPORT OF THEIR OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS OR, IN THE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CAMILLA B. TAYLOR* LAMBDA LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATION FUND, INC. 105 West Adams, 26th Floor Chicago, IL 60603-6208 Tel: (312) 663-4413 Counsel for Plaintiffs Other Than Santa Clara County * Admitted pro hac vice	MAYER BROWN LLP Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112 Tel: (650) 331-2000 Fax: (650) 331-2060 lrubin@mayerbrown.com Counsel for Plaintiffs
ALEX M. AZAR, et al., Defendants. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA et al, Plaintiffs, vs. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, et al., Defendants. ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Date: October 30, 2019 Time: 8:00 AM Courtroom: 12 Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup Action Filed: 5/2/2019	114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 226	Plaintiff, vs. ALEX M. AZAR II, et al., Defendants. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA, Plaintiff, vs. ALEX M. AZAR, et al., Defendants. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA et al, Plaintiffs, vs. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, et al.,	Related to No. C 19-02769 WHA No. C 19-02916 WHA DECLARATION OF HECTOR VARGAS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF GLMA: HEALTH PROFESSIONALS ADVANCING LGBTQ EQUALITY, IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND IN SUPPORT OF THEIR OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Date: October 30, 2019 Time: 8:00 AM Courtroom: 12 Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup

I, Hector Vargas, declare as follows:

- 1. American Association of Physicians for Human Rights, Inc., d/b/a GLMA: Health Professionals Advancing LGBTQ Equality, ("GLMA") is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization based in Washington, D.C., and incorporated in California. GLMA's mission is to ensure health equity for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer (LGBTQ) and all sexual- and gender- minority (SGM) individuals, and equality for LGBTQ/SGM health professionals in their work and learning environments. To achieve this mission, GLMA utilizes the scientific expertise of its diverse multidisciplinary membership to inform and drive advocacy, education, and research. GLMA (formerly known as the Gay & Lesbian Medical Association) was founded in 1981 and its initial mission focused on responding with policy advocacy and public-health research to the growing medical crisis that would become the HIV/AIDS epidemic. Since then, GLMA's mission has broadened to address the full range of health concerns and issues affecting LGBTQ people, including ensuring that sound science and research inform health policy and practices for the LGBTQ community.
- 2. GLMA represents the interests of tens of thousands of LGBTQ health professionals, as well as millions of LGBTQ patients and families around the country. GLMA's membership includes approximately 1,000 member physicians, nurses, advanced practice nurses, physician assistants, researchers and academics, behavioral health specialists, health profession students and other health professionals. GLMA's members reside and work across the United States and in several other countries. Their practices represent the major healthcare disciplines and a wide range of health specialties, including internal medicine, family practice, psychiatry, pediatrics, obstetrics/gynecology, emergency medicine, neurology and infectious diseases.
- 3. I am the Executive Director of GLMA: Health Professionals Advancing LGBTQ Equality. I received my bachelor of arts degree in political science and Spanish in 1989 and law degree in 1993 from the University of Georgia. I served on the Health Disparities Subcommittee of the Advisory Committee to the Director of the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and served for four years on President Obama's Advisory Commission on Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders. I have more than 20 years of LGBTQ and civil rights advocacy experience,

including on staff with Lambda Legal, the National LGBTQ Task Force and the American Bar Association's Section of Civil Rights and Social Justice. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment and in support of their opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment.

- 4. The Denial-of-Care Rule fosters greater discrimination against LGBTQ patients, who already experience widespread discrimination in obtaining healthcare and suffer significant health disparities in comparison to the general population. Research documents the history of this discrimination and the negative health outcomes that result. The majority of LGBTQ patients and patients living with HIV report having experienced providers refusing to touch them or using excessive precautions, providers using harsh or abusive language, providers being physically rough or abusive, and/or providers shaming LGBTQ patients and blaming these patients for their health status. A large percentage of transgender patients report having negative experiences related to their gender identity when seeking medical care, including being exposed to verbal harassment or refusals of care.
- 5. LGBTQ patients face significant health disparities—higher risk factors for poor physical and mental health, higher rates of HIV, decreased access to appropriate health insurance, insufficient access to preventative medicine, and higher risk of poor treatment by healthcare providers. Denials of care by healthcare providers asserting religious objections have been detrimental to the health of LGBTQ patients. LGBTQ patients are vulnerable in other ways as well, including higher rates of poverty and limited access to LGBTQ-specific services, that present significant logistical and economic challenges to obtaining adequate healthcare. These harms are exacerbated by the Denial-of-Care Rule. The Rule will result in greater discrimination against LGBTQ patients and result in increased denials of services based not only on the medical services that patients seek, but on the patients' LGBTQ identities.
- 6. Among GLMA's strategic commitments is its ongoing collaboration with professional accreditation bodies, such as The Joint Commission, on the development, implementation, and enforcement of sexual-orientation and gender-identity nondiscrimination policies as well as cultural-competency standards of care for treatment of LGBTQ patients. GLMA worked with the

10 11

12

13

14 15

17 18

16

19 20

22

21

23 24

25 26

27

28

Joint Commission and continues to work with similar professional bodies and health professional associations on standards, guidelines, and policies that address LGBTQ health, protecting individual patient health and public health in general.

- 7. The Denial-of-Care Rule presents a direct conflict with nondiscrimination standards adopted by The Joint Commission and all major health professional associations, who have recognized the need to ensure LGBTQ patients are treated with respect and without bias or discrimination in hospitals, clinics, and other healthcare settings. Many of these efforts were prompted at least in part by GLMA's efforts through the years. For example, GLMA representatives, in coordination with other LGBTQ health experts, participated in the development and implementation of the hospital-accreditation nondiscrimination standards and guidelines developed by The Joint Commission to protect and ensure quality care for LGBTQ patients.
- 8. Similarly, GLMA has worked with the American Medical Association, among other health professional associations, over the last 15 years to ensure AMA policies prevent discrimination against LGBTQ patients and recognize the specific health needs of the LGBTQ community. All the leading health professional associations—including the AMA, American Osteopathic Association, American Academy of PAs, American Nurses Association, American Academy of Nursing, American College of Physicians, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, American Psychiatric Association, American Academy of Pediatricians, American Academy of Family Physicians, American Public Health Association, American Psychological Association, National Association of Social Workers, and many more—have adopted policies articulating that healthcare providers should not discriminate in providing care for patients and clients because of their sexual orientation or gender identity. By allowing discrimination against patients on the grounds of moral and religious freedom, the proposed rule obviates the ethical and medical standards of care that healthcare professionals are charged to uphold.
- 9. In order for a healthcare organization to participate in and receive federal payment from Medicare or Medicaid programs, the organization must meet certain requirements, including a certification of compliance with health and safety requirements, which is achieved based on a survey conducted either by a state agency on behalf of the federal government or by a federally-

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

recognized national accrediting organization. Accreditation surveys include standards that healthcare organizations not discriminate based on sex, sexual orientation, or gender identity in the provision of services and in employment. A healthcare organization that discriminates on these bases in the provision of patient care or in employment, or that otherwise deviates from medical, professional and ethical standards of care is vulnerable to loss of accreditation. The Denial-of-Care Rule conflicts with these requirements.

10. If not enjoined, the Denial-of-Care Rule will cause nationwide harm to GLMA members, LGBTQ patients whose interests GLMA also represents, and the patients who GLMA members treat. The Denial-of-Care Rule creates a safe haven for discrimination and prevents GLMA from achieving its goals with professional accreditation bodies because the Rule intimidates such bodies from holding healthcare providers accountable for discrimination against LGBTQ people and denials of care when the discriminatory conduct is justified on the basis of religious or moral beliefs. The Denial-of-Care Rule would prevent agencies, to the extent allowed by law, from recognizing the loss of accreditation of a healthcare organization due to a specified anti-LGBTQ belief. The Rule, in turn, invites such facilities to discriminate against LGBTQ employees and patients without concern about the impact such discrimination will have on the organization's ability to continue receiving federal funding. The Rule, therefore, frustrates GLMA's mission of achieving and enforcing accreditation standards relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of sex, sexual orientation, and gender identity, and cultural-competency standards of care for treatment of LGBTQ patients. GLMA even works with medical organizations, like the American Academy of Dermatology, to create nondiscrimination policies and ensure their members understand and adhere to such standards. The Denial-of-Care Rule turns on its head all of the work that GLMA has accomplished in this arena.

11. Some members of GLMA are employed by religiously-affiliated healthcare organizations (for example, hospitals, hospices, or ambulatory care centers) that receive federal funds. These healthcare providers also treat LGBTQ patients. The Denial-of-Care Rule encourages religiously-affiliated healthcare employers to discriminate against employees who are GLMA members for adhering to and enforcing their medical and ethical obligations to treat all patients in

14

16

17

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 a nondiscriminatory manner, including providing all medically-necessary care that is in patients' best interests. The Rule impinges on and conflicts with GLMA members' ethical and medical standards of care that healthcare providers are charged to uphold and harms the patients that they serve.

- 12. The Denial-of-Care Rule invites harassment and discriminatory treatment of GLMA members in the workforce by fellow employees who claim a right to accommodation for discriminatory behavior justified by the Rule. GLMA members and their LGBTQ patients are stigmatized and demeaned by the message, communicated by the Denial-of-Care Rule, that their government privileges beliefs that result in the disapproval and disparagement of LGBTQ people in the healthcare context.
- 13. As an organization of health professionals who serve and care for patients from the LGBTQ community, GLMA knows that discrimination against LGBTQ individuals in healthcare access and coverage remains a pervasive problem and that often this discrimination is based in religious objections. GLMA members have reported numerous instances of discrimination in care based on religious grounds. GLMA members shared with GLMA the ways religious objections are used to the detriment of the healthcare of LGBTQ patients, including members who have said:
 - a. "I see patients nearly every day who have been treated poorly by providers with moral and religious objection. Patients with HIV who have been told that they somehow deserved this for not adhering to God's law. Patients who are transgender who have been told that 'we don't treat your kind here'. The psychological and physical damage is pervasive."
 - b. "[Some providers in my clinic] do not wish to have contact with transgender patients, mumbling religious incompatibilities when asked why. These people have made our transgender patients feel very uncomfortable and unwelcome at times, making them potentially more hesitant to use the health services they may need."
 - c. "The impact on my patients who were directly denied care was both psychological and physical. With regard to their mental wellbeing they

clearly felt marginalized and disrespected. With regard to their physical wellbeing, they experienced delay in care, and in some cases disruption of their routine medication dosing or diagnostic assessment."

14. Based on what patients have told GLMA members about their history and fear of discriminatory treatment, it is clear that the Rule will cause LGBTQ patients to attempt to hide their LGBTQ identities when seeking healthcare services, especially from religiously-affiliated healthcare organizations, in order to avoid such discrimination. When patients are unwilling to disclose their sexual orientation and/or gender identity to healthcare providers out of fear of discrimination and being refused treatment, their mental and physical health is critically compromised.

15. As a result of the Denial-of-Care Rule, GLMA is required to divert its resources to educate and assist its members and the LGBTQ patients its members serve to defend against the harms that the Rule causes. GLMA's staff and resources already have been diverted from other program activities to engage in advocacy, policy analysis, and program-development to address the ill-effects of the Denial-of-Care Rule. GLMA has worked tirelessly to get medical and other health associations to express their disapproval of the Denial-of-Care Rule, which has diverted large amounts of resources away from other proactive projects and outreach efforts that are core to GLMA's mission. GLMA also spends resources answering GLMA members' inquiries about the Denial-of-Care Rule given the pervasive concern that the Denial-of-Care Rule contradicts medical ethical requirements and standards of care. GLMA must spend resources educating its members and the general healthcare community about GLMA's position on the Denial-of-Care Rule and its effects on healthcare practices and providers.

16. The Denial-of-Care Rule will also adversely impact GLMA and its members by necessitating the diversion and reallocation of resources to maintain its online list of LGBTQ-affirming healthcare providers. As a result of the Denial-of-Care Rule, GLMA and its members expect to see increases in the use of this online service and must consider whether to allocate additional staff time to support this increase in website traffic. Patients have expressed concern about traveling outside of their home cities for business because if they are ever in need of

1

5 6

7

4

8 9

10

11 12

14

15

13

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

emergency medical assistance, they will not know where to go to ensure that they will receive nondiscriminatory, proper healthcare services. GLMA will need to be a resource for these patients.

17. The Denial-of-Care Rule empowers and incites religious-based discrimination against GLMA members and will contribute to discriminatory and even hostile work environments for GLMA members, LGBTQ healthcare providers, and LGBTQ-affirming healthcare providers. GLMA members who insist on treating patients equally and in accordance with medical and ethical standards of care are likely to be required to shoulder extra burdens as fellow employees decline to provide certain care. GLMA members also are likely to encounter push-back, hostility, and even adverse employment actions from their employers or fellow employees for trying to enforce nondiscrimination policies and provide appropriate care to patients. Because the vast majority of GLMA members are LGBTQ themselves, seeing LGBTQ patients treated in a discriminatory way by their colleagues and supported by their employers will have a profound impact on the environment in which they work, GLMA members will also fear that the discrimination faced by LGBTQ patients because of the Denial-of-Care Rule will also impact their own employment and ability to feel safe as LGBTQ employees. GLMA, in turn, sees and will continue seeing an increase in healthcare providers seeking its assistance with addressing such discrimination. The increased demand for such services will drain GLMA's resources and hamper other work, especially since GLMA already has a very limited bandwidth for such services.

18. As a membership organization comprising over a thousand LGBTQ health professionals, GLMA's members receive various forms of federal funding directly and indirectly via federal programs, including Public Health Service Act funding. GLMA's members may, therefore, be subject to the restrictions of the Denial-of-Care Rule. Without such funding, certain GLMA members could not provide proper treatment to their patients or proceed with their medical research programs. GLMA's members, therefore, have a reasonable fear that they could be sanctioned and lose federal funding for the work that they do as a result of nondiscrimination policies, ethical requirements, and standards of care that they enforce in their healthcare practices, which are vital to providing proper care to their patients.

Case 3:19-cv-02769-WHA Document 108 Filed 09/12/19 Page 9 of 9

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed on September 5, 2019, in Washington, D.C.. **Executive Director**