Case 3:19-cv-02769-WHA Document 84 Filed 09/12/19 Page 1 of 7

		હૈં _
1	XAVIER BECERRA, State Bar No. 118517 Attorney General of California	
2	KATHLEEN BOERGERS, State Bar No. 213530 Supervising Deputy Attorney General	45 14
3.	KARLI EISENBERG, State Bar No. 281923 STEPHANIE YU, State Bar No. 294405	
4	NELI N. PALMA, State Bar No. 203374 Deputy Attorneys General	2
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7522	
7	Fax: (916) 322-8288 E-mail: Neli.Palma@doj.ca.gov	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of California, by and through Attorney General Xavier Becerra	d
9	,	TES DISTRICT COURT
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	2 2 2 3 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E C
13	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	No. C19-02405 WHA No. C19-02769 WHA
14	Plaintiff,	No. C19-02916 WHA
15	VS.	
16	ALEX M. AZAR II, et al.,	5 6 8
17	Defendants.	DECLARATION OF RICARDO LARA
18	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA,	IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
19	Plaintiff,	AND IN SUPPORT OF THEIR OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS'
20	VS.	MOTION TO DISMISS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY
21	ALEX M. AZAR, et al., Defendants.	JUDGMENT
22		Date: October 30, 2019
23	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA et al,, Plaintiffs,	Time: 8:00 AM Courtroom: 12
24	VS.	Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup Action Filed: 5/2/2019
25	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND	
26	HUMAN SERVICES, et al., Defendants.	
27	- DOMANNIA DI	25 E
28		1.

I, Ricardo Lara, declare:

- 1. I am the elected Insurance Commissioner of the State of California. I was elected to this position in November 2018 and was sworn into office on January 7, 2019. I am the first openly LGBTQ person to be elected to statewide office in California. As Insurance Commissioner, I oversee the California Department of Insurance (CDI). Prior to being elected California's Insurance Commissioner, I was elected to and served in the California State Legislature from 2010-2018.
- 2. I am familiar with the final Rule, Protecting Statutory Conscience Rights in Health Care; Delegations of Authority, RIN 0945-AA10, published in volume 84, number 98 of the Federal Register on May 21, 2019, beginning at page 23170.
- 3. If called upon to do so, I could and would testify competently about the contents of this declaration.
- 4. CDI is the largest consumer protection agency in the state and is responsible for regulating California's insurance market, which is the largest in the country. CDI implements and enforces consumer protection laws related to health insurance, including but not limited to, essential health benefits requirements, anti-discrimination protections and laws pertaining to timely access to medical care.
- 5. Based upon my knowledge and experience, I believe the Rule will harm patients by delaying timely access to medical care, result in denial of access to medically necessary health care services, and increase discrimination against patients. This Rule invites discrimination and threatens the health of Californians, particularly women, members of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer or questioning (LGBTQ) community, people of color, and persons living in communities with limited medical treatment options.
- 6. This Rule flies in the face of decades of civil rights laws, court rulings, and our progress as a nation. This Rule allows a broad range of individuals and entities (such as medical providers, medical facilities, insurers, third-party administrators, employers, and their employees such as medical personnel, call center staff, receptionists, scheduling staff and others) to impose their personal bias against a particular medical service or patient. By giving these individuals and

entities free rein to put their biases above the needs of patients, this Rule allows these individuals and entities to interfere with patient care, to refuse to provide care, or to refuse to provide health insurance coverage for medically necessary health care services. This Rule will therefore have a chilling effect on the practice of medicine, hospital operations, and insurance coverage for medically necessary services. This Rule threatens a fundamental right, the freedom from discrimination, which state and federal laws guarantee to all people.

- 7. The Rule interferes with enforcement of state laws that prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, ancestry, marital status, sex, sexual orientation, gender, and gender identity.
- 8. With this Rule, the federal government threatens to withhold billions of dollars from California unless we deny Californians the privacy and anti-discrimination protections enshrined in state law and our state constitution.
- 9. CDI enforces laws that require that health insurers provide timely access to medical care. Health insurers submit their medical provider network data to CDI, which includes information about medical providers who are available to provide medical care to policyholders of that insurer. CDI receives consumer calls, requests for information, and complaints concerning patients who encounter difficulty receiving timely access to medical care.
- 10. This rule will make it more difficult for patients to access the care they need in a timely manner. When care is delayed or denied, this often results in more costly care being necessary at a later date, which can result in adverse medical outcomes. This Rule will cause confusion for patients as they attempt to exercise their right to access the full range of medically appropriate care, but encounter new roadblocks. The Rule will also create confusion for health facilities, providers and insurers, given that they are bound by state laws that protect patient access to medically necessary health care, while these rules may interfere with the provision of timely access to care.
- 11. If providers exercise the discriminatory refusals of care invited by this Rule, insurers may find that their medical provider networks are now insufficient to provide timely access to specific necessary services. As a result, these insurers will be required to arrange for

care for their policyholders with out-of-network providers. This would likely result in increased costs to the insurer that would then be passed on to policyholders. Also, given the overbroad scope of the Rule, an insurer's employee, who has no medical background or involvement in the actual treatment of the insured patient, might nonetheless object on the basis of this Rule to participating in arranging this out-of-network care, further delaying or preventing the patient from accessing care. Similarly, this Rule also increases the likelihood that a patient who goes to an innetwork medical facility will be forced to see an out-of-network medical provider to get the care they need, which in some situations will result in the patient having to pay higher, out-of-network cost-sharing.

- 12. Throughout my career in public service, I have heard from people who have experienced difficulty getting access to medical care because they are transgender.
- 13. A 2015 national transgender survey shared with CDI found that 33% of respondents who had seen a health care provider in the past year reported having at least one negative experience related to being transgender such as verbal harassment, refusal of treatment, or having to educate the medical provider about transgender people to receive appropriate care.
- 14. Progress has been made in terms of increasing access to needed medical care for transgender Californians. In 2012, CDI issued regulations clarifying that insurers are prohibited from denying, canceling, and limiting or refusing insurance coverage based on gender identity, expression or transgender status. Health insurance coverage in California is prohibited from arbitrarily excluding coverage for gender affirmation services including (but not limited to) hormone therapy, mental health services and surgical services. However, this Rule seeks to reverse that progress, and may embolden those who might engage in such harassment or refusal to provide care.
- 15. As some providers use this Rule to express their biases while practicing their profession, this Rule will increase discrimination against LGBTQ Californians. This Rule can be expected to increase the number of providers who will not treat someone because they are LGBTQ. Some pediatricians or other primary care providers may decline to treat certain patients. In some areas of California, this will make it very difficult for LGBTQ Californians to access the

care they need. This type of discrimination will have devastating impacts on the health and well-being of patients, both those who are denied care and those who worry they will not be able to get care due to this Rule.

- 16. This Rule will limit access to medical services such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) and postexposure prophylaxis (PEP), which will likely result in an increase in the number of people becoming HIV positive. This Rule threatens public health.
- 17. The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) reported that hate crimes have been on the rise three years in a row, but this Rule gives medical providers and others permission to discriminate against even those who need medical attention because they have just been victims of violent hate crimes.
- 18. The federal government should not be encouraging unlawful discrimination by adopting this regulation, which runs counter to existing state and federal privacy and anti-discrimination laws, particularly when the result will be harm to the health and well-being of already vulnerable populations.
- 19. Californians have a constitutionally guaranteed right to privacy. This Rule threatens the ability of Californians to exercise their right to privacy and impedes access to basic health care services.
- 20. As Insurance Commissioner, I enforce the Affordable Care Act (ACA) and state laws that require health insurance policies to cover preventive health care. This Rule will interfere with the ability of women to get access to and even information about the full range of reproductive health services that the law requires be covered by health insurance.
- 21. Prior to the passage of the ACA, CDI heard from some women who had, at times, experienced difficulty filling their prescriptions for contraceptives each month, resulting in their skipping needed pills. Some of those women became pregnant, despite having a prescription for contraceptives. A Rule that allows more pharmacists or others to interfere with a woman's access to contraceptives will result in undue hardships for women, some of whom will then face unintended pregnancies and abortions that would otherwise not have occurred.

- 22. This Rule will limit access to medical services for victims of sexual assault seeking treatment to prevent pregnancy. Delaying such treatment will result in unintended pregnancies. Under this Rule, we can expect that in some communities, a patient who is brought to the nearest emergency room for treatment may need to later transport themselves to a different medical facility where they can receive the treatment they need. By then, it may be too late to prevent an unintended pregnancy.
- 23. This Rule also seeks to make it more difficult for women in many communities to access abortion services. To the extent that a woman's access is delayed, the type of procedure that will be medically appropriate may change and the cost of that procedure will be higher than if she was able to access abortion services earlier in her pregnancy.
- 24. In a circumstance where sterilization is being used for preventive purposes, such as a preventive oophorectomy (removal of ovaries) to reduce the risk of future cancers for women with the high-risk BRCA genetic mutation, this Rule could make it possible for providers to delay or prevent this treatment.
- 25. This Rule will limit access to medical services in rural communities and other geographic areas with limited numbers of health care providers, which will endanger patients.
- 26. The Rule acknowledges that "...patients in rural areas are more likely than patients in urban areas to suffer adverse health outcomes as a result of being denied care" (84 Fed. Reg. at 23253) and yet astoundingly the Rule creates a situation in which an overly broad range of people and entities will have the ability to interfere with the ability of a patient who needs medical to care to receive that care.
- 27. Rural communities in California often have fewer primary care doctors and specialists than may be needed to serve a given community. Additionally, in some communities, an individual or employer may only have a choice of one or two health insurers in particular geographic areas when buying coverage. This Rule will be particularly harmful in areas where the small number of medical providers and/or insurers serving the area already presents challenges to timely access to medical care. Some people will have to drive long distances to access care. Others will not be able to afford to travel to receive the medical care they need,